### Quantitative detection and correlation of *Epstein - Barr Virus* in plasma with gingivitis and severity of chronic periodontitis by using real-time polymerase chain reaction technique

Nada K. Imran, B.D.S. <sup>(1)</sup> Basima Gh. Ali, B.D.S, M.Sc. <sup>(2)</sup> Duraid Q. Jassim, M.B.Ch.B., F.I.B.M.S. <sup>(3)</sup>

### ABSTRACT

Background: This study aimed to detect *EBV* quantitatively in plasma using real-time polymerase chain reaction technique in chronic periodontitis and gingivitis patients and to compare the finding with control subjects (healthy periodontium) and to investigate the relationship between the presence of *EBV* & the severity of periodontal diseases using the clinical periodontal parameters (PLI ,GI , BOP ,PPD and CAL) between each of (chronic periodontitis and gingivitis) patients and compare with control (healthy periodontium) subjects.

Materials and methods: The study sample consisted of (101) individual of both genders, (61) chronic periodontitis patients which were subdivided into (mild, moderate & severe) depending on the scores of clinical attachment level, (20) gingivitis patients and (20) control subjects (healthy periodontium) with age ranged from (30-50) years. All the groups were without any history of systemic diseases. Clinical periodontal parameters used in this study were (PLI, GI, BOP, PPD and CAL). Blood samples were collected from all individuals and examined by Real-Time PCR technique for the detection of *EBV*.

Results: The result of comparison for the occurrence of *EBV* among study and control groups according to sequential responding of *EBV* appears to be highly significant at negative level of *EBV*, significant in (100 - 500 copy/10<sup>5</sup> cells) level and the results of leftover levels appear non significant difference. The result of correlation between the actual occurrence of *EBV* and PPD scores in severe chronic periodontitis subgroup appears to be significant at PPD score (1) and non significant at scores (2&3). The correlations between EBV and PPD scores in moderate and mild chronic periodontitis subgroups appear to be non significant with all scores. The results of correlation between *EBV* and CAL parameter appear to be non significant in mild chronic periodontitis subgroup. Concerning plaque index, the correlation appears to be significant in control group. In case of gingival index, the correlation appears to be significant in severe subgroup of chronic periodontitis group and significant in gingivitis group, while in case of (B.O.P. score 0), the correlation appears to be significant only in severe chronic periodontitis subgroup.

Conclusions: The present findings revealed that there may be an association between *EBV* infection and the severity of periodontal diseases and thus coinfection with *EBV* may play a role in increase destruction of periodontal tissues. Keyword: Chronic periodontitis, EBV, Real-Time PCR. (J Bagh Coll Dentistry 2014; 26(4):133-140).

### **INTRODUCTION**

Periodontal diseases (PD) are group of inflammatory diseases caused by pathogenic microflora organized in biofilms surrounding the teeth <sup>(1)</sup>.

The causes of infection may include bacterial plaque and herpes virus <sup>(2,3)</sup>. There are two major types of periodontal disease: gingivitis and periodontitis.

Gingivitis involves a limited inflammation of the unattached gingiva and is a relatively common and reversible condition. In contrast, periodontitis is characterized by general inflammation of the periodontal tissues, which leads to the apical migration of the junctional epithelium along the root surface and progressive destruction of the periodontal ligament and the alveolar bone <sup>(4)</sup>.

Bacterial infection alone may not explain the conversion of gingivitis to periodontitis<sup>(5)</sup>, rapid tissue destruction around teeth exhibiting little plaque, the propensity of periodontitis to proceed with periods of exacerbation, remission and the tendency of periodontal tissue breakdown to advance in a localized and bilaterally symmetrical pattern<sup>(6)</sup>.

However, it has been difficult to unravel the precise role of various putative pathogens and host responses in the pathogenesis of periodontitis.

Recent finding have showed herpes viruses especially *Epstein-Barr virus* (*EBV*) can infect or alter structural cells and host defense cells of the periodontium <sup>(7)</sup>. It's obvious that others factors beyond biofilm are important in the pathogenesis of periodontitis like tobacco smoking and genetically determined variations in inflammatory response patterns and recently, it was suggested that certain viruses might also influence the development and severity of periodontal diseases, though the cause of gingivitis and periodontitis is

<sup>(1)</sup> Master Student, Department of Periodontics, College of Dentistry, Baghdad University.

<sup>(2)</sup> Assistant Professor, Department of Periodontics, College of Dentistry, Baghdad University.

<sup>(3)</sup> Assistant Professor, Baghdad Medical City, Ministry of Health.

credited to bacteria colonizing tooth surfaces and initiating the major mechanisms of periodontal destruction<sup>(8)</sup>.

Viruses can also interfere on immune responses though immune modulators encoded within viral genomes, which include proteins that regulate antigen presentation, function as cytokines or cytokine antagonists, inhibit apoptosis and interrupt the complement cascade

Viral infections may facilitate the destruction of periodontal tissue by lytic activity against periodontal cells, immune mediated tissue destruction and immune suppression, which increase the susceptibility of the host to bacterial attacks <sup>(10,11)</sup>. Thus, a situation of viral-bacterial interaction could occur in the oral cavity without a denial of the argument for a major etiological role of bacteria in human periodontal disease.

Human Cytomegalovirus (HCMV) and EBV-1 assume a particularly close relationship with human periodontitis while herpes simplex virus (HSV) , human herpesvirus-6 (HHV-6) and Epstein-Barr virus type-2 seem to exhibit little or no association with most types of periodontitis disease (12).

Various systems for the detection of oral pathogens have been reported, but most are qualitative (13,14).

Because periodontal pathogens exist not only in infected pockets but also in the healthy sulcus, qualitative detection is not suitable for the diagnosis of periodontitis.

For this purpose, the present study used a quantitative detection system that uses real-time polymerase chain reaction (real-time PCR) methodology.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### **Human Sample**

The sample in this study consisted of onehundred & one subjects with an age ranged from (30-50) years, males (73) & females (28), sample collection was started at 16<sup>th</sup> February 2013 till 20 March 2013. Patients participating in the present study with chronic periodontitis (no=61), which were subdivided according to the severity of disease into (mild, moderate & severe) depending on the scores of clinical attachment level, gingivitis patients (No.=20) with limited inflammation of the gingiva, no probing pocket depth & no clinical attachment loss and control subjects (healthy periodontium) (No.=20) where there was no signs of periodontal disease with clinically healthy gingiva, no pockets, no bleeding on probing and no evidence of bone loss. The sample collected from patients recruited from the

Clinic of the Department of Periodontics/ College of Dentistry/ Baghdad University.

#### **Clinical examination**

Periodontal examination consisted of plaque index (PLI) <sup>(15)</sup>, gingival index (GI) <sup>(16)</sup>, bleeding on probing (BOP) <sup>(17)</sup>, probing pocket depth (PPD) and finally clinical attachment level (CAL) <sup>(18)</sup> measured at 4 sites for all teeth except 3rd molar on (mesial, vestibular, distal, lingual) using a calibrated periodontal probe (Michigan O probe). Patients with chronic periodontitis had periodontal pockets equal or greater than 3mm with clinical attachment loss <sup>(19)</sup>. All subjects participated in this study without any systemic diseases, had not received previous periodontal treatment and had not used antibiotics in the past 3 months.

Patients were excluded if pregnant, smokers, menapaused women, female under contraceptive pills and patients with history of herpetic infection (self-reported) during the last six months.

In the present study the laboratory results will be divided into 5 levels according to the quantity of *EBV* with cutoff point will be  $(100-500 \text{ copy}/10^5)$ cell) level, these levels are:

- 1. Negative level (score-1).
- % <100 copy/10<sup>5</sup> cells level (score-2).
   100-500 copy/10<sup>5</sup> cells level (cutoff point) (score-3).
- 4.  $600-1000 \text{ copy}/10^5 \text{ cells level (score-4)}.$
- 5.  $\% > 1000 \text{ copy}/10^5 \text{ cells level (score-5).}$

#### Collection of Blood Sample and Plasma **Preparation**

The blood was collected from all participants between (9 am-12 pm), the blood samples were taking from their arms from cubital Fossa (cubital vien), 5cc of venous blood taken from patients & control healthy individual and put it in [Ethylen Diamine Tetra Acetic acid (EDTA)] tubes as anticoagulant tubes used to preserve collected blood samples and then preserved in a cool box containing ice packs until transferring the samples to the laboratory.

Plasma separated from blood samples using centrifuge at speed (1600 R/min) for 20 min according to DNA extraction kit manuals, then plasma samples preserved immediately into other plain tubes and stored in freeze at (- 15 C°) until they were assayed. This was done within 4 hours after sample collection.

#### **DNA Extraction**

The kit used is DNA column extraction kit {Sacace-ITALY (Lot number 1306/001)}, for extraction of DNA using column method and samples preserved in freeze thawed in room temperature for extraction.

Eppendorf tubes used in first step of extraction prepared & numbered according to the samples and then Ribovirus columns used.

After a long series of adding washing solutions, centrifuge and heating, we can get the pure DNA captured at the bottom of each eppendorf tube.

#### **Real-Time PCR amplification**

The kit used is EBV- Real time PCR kit from {Sacace biotechnology (ITALY) (Lot number 23K13K705)}, which contains (Polymerase, mastermix, controls for quantitation, Internal Control). Smart cycler PCR tubes used that numbered according to the samples, then 12.5 µl of extracted DNA and PCR mix (which contain Tag. Polymerase enzyme ) added to each tube and each tube closed well & centrifuged in minispin centrifuge at (8000 R/min) for 20 seconds ,then tubes put in Real-Time PCR for amplification, we notice that Internal control DNA appears in FAM fluorescent signal on PCR ,while DNA samples appear in CY3 fluorescent signal channel on PCR. Quantitation based on formulation of the kit and the results appear as curves of different colors on the monitor of real-time PCR system and the quantitation depends on standard curve.

#### RESULTS

The goodness-of-fit test ,which tests the mean value of the studied parameters {PLI & GI, BOP (Score 1) and the Sequential Responding}, which was used to determine the normal and non normal distribution of the data , this test reflects that the results of (mean and standard deviation) for the study group was higher than that of control group as seen in table (1).

The descriptive statistics of the clinical periodontal parameters reflect that the results of the study group were higher than that of the control group.

According to sequential responding parameter, we notice that the mean values of positive records increase with increase severity of periodontal diseases & *EBV* levels at different groups and subgroups recorded, the higher mean was at severe subgroup of chronic periodontitis (3.77), while the least appears at control group (1.55) as seen in table (2).

By using (LSD test ) ,this test revealed highly significant differences between severe subgroup & other groups and subgroups of the study according to sequential responding and significant difference between moderate subgroup of chronic periodontitis group & control group, while the leftover revealed non significant differences as seen in table (3).

The result of comparison between the groups of the study & the levels of *EBV* appears to be highly significant at the negative level of *EBV*, non significant positive difference at the (%<100 copies/10<sup>5</sup> cells), significant positive difference at (100-500 copies/10<sup>5</sup> cells), non significant positive difference at the (600-1000 copies/10<sup>5</sup> cells) & non significant positive difference at the (% >1000 copies/10<sup>5</sup> cells). The result of comparison between the 3 groups of the study and *EBV* at the cutoff point (100-500 copies/10<sup>5</sup> cells) level appears to be statistically significant as seen in table (4).

Spearman's Correlation Coefficient. Test, that is used for testing the correlation between different periodontitis subgroups & the clinical periodontal parameters {plaque index, gingival index & BOP (score 0& 1)} according to sequential responding of EBV. In case of plaque index, a negative significant correlation appears in mild chronic periodontitis subgroup, while it appears non significant in case of (moderate and severe) chronic periodontitis subgroups. A negative significant correlation appears in case of gingival index in severe chronic periodontitis subgroup, while it is non-significant in (mild and moderate) chronic periodontitis subgroups. In case of BOP score 1, the correlation appears to be highly significant and negative in severe subgroup of chronic periodontitis group and non significant in (mild and moderate) subgroups. The correlation of BOP score 0 appears to be significant & positive in severe chronic periodontitis subgroup, while it appears non significant in case of (mild and moderate) subgroups of chronic periodontitis group as seen in table (5).

The correlation of  $EBV \& \{ PLI, GI, and BOP (score 0\&1) \}$  in gingivitis group, which appears non significant, while the correlation of EBV & BOP score (1) appears to be significant and negative as seen in table (6).

The correlation of *EBV* & clinical periodontal parameters{ PLI, GI, and BOP (score 0&1)} in control group appears to be highly significant and negative (strong correlation) with plaque index, negative significant correlation with gingival index , while it appears non significant in case of BOP score (0&1) as seen in table (7).

The correlation of *EBV* & PPD scores in severe periodontitis subgroup appears to be significant and negative at PPD score (1), while it appears a non significant correlation at PPD score (2&3). The correlation of *EBV* & all CAL scores appears to be non-significant and negative as seen in table (8).

The correlation of *EBV* and (PPD & CAL) scores in moderate periodontitis subgroup appears to be non significant with all scores of (PPD & CAL) parameters as seen in table (9).

The correlation of *EBV* and (PPD & CAL) scores in mild periodontitis subgroup appears to be non significant with all scores of (PPD&CAL) parameters as seen in table (10).

# Table 1: Goodness of Fit test of normal distribution function for the studied parameters (PLI,GI, BOP score 0&1 and sequential responding) in study and control groups

Groups	Statistical Information		Plaque Index	Gingival Index	B.O.P Score 1	Sequential Responding		
	No.	Parameters						
Study	81	Mean	1.506	1.452	61.69	2.280		
		$\pm$ SD	0.538	0.452	34.23	1.280		
	No.	Parameters						
Control	20	Mean	0.110	0.147	4.800	1.550		
	20	± SD	0.057	0.164	2.840	0.690		

Table 2: Summary Statistics of (Sequential Responding) Parameter at the different groups and subgroups

	Bubgioup	-			
Parameter	Groups and subgroups	No.	Mean	±Std. Dev.	Std. Error
Sequential Responding	Chronic periodontitis - Severe	13	3.77	0.73	0.2
	Chronic periodontitis - Moderate	23	2.26	1.01	0.21
	Chronic periodontitis - Mild	25	2.00	1.22	0.24
	Gingivitis	20	1.70	1.22	0.27
	Control	20	1.55	0.69	0.15

# Table 3: Multiple Comparisons (LSD) between all pairs of different groups and subgroups according to sequential responding parameter:

Dependent Variable	Study Grou	ps & subgroups	Mean Difference	Sig.	C.S.
	Chronic	Chronic periodontitis -Mod.	1.51	0.001**	HS
	Chronic	Chronic periodontitis - Mild	1.77	0.001**	HS
	periodontitis – Severe	Gingivitis	2.07	0.001**	HS
	Severe	Control	2.22	0.001**	HS
Sequential	Chronic periodontitis - Moderate	Chronic periodontitis - Mild	0.26	0.383	NS
Responding		Gingivitis	0.56	0.078	NS
-		Control	0.71	0.026*	S
	Chronic	Gingivitis	0.30	0.334	NS
	periodontitis - Mild	Control	0.45	0.149	NS
	Gingivitis	Control	0.15	0.646	NS

<sup>(\*\*)</sup> HS: Highly Sig. at P< 0.01; (\*) S: Sig. at P<0.05; NS: Non Sig. at P>0.05

# Table 4: Distribution of the absent & present responding at each group according to different levels of EBV with Contingency Coefficients

	itvt	y Coeffic	Groups				
Levels	Resp. (+& -)	No. & Percents	Chronic Periodontitis	Gingivitis	Control	C.S. P-value	
		No.	45	7	9		
Negative	0	%Negative	73.8%	11.5%	14.8%	C.C.=0.32	
		%Groups	73.8%	35%	45%	5	
( score -1)		No.	16	13	11	P=0.003	
	1	%Negative	40%	32.5%	27.5%	(**) HS	
		% Groups	26.2%	65%	55%		
		No.	43	16	13		
_	0	%< 100 copy/10^5 cells	59.7%	22.2%	18.1%	C.C.=0.10	
<100 copy/10 <sup>5</sup> cells		%Groups	70.5%	80%	65%	6	
( score -2)		No.	18	4	7	P=0.564	
	1	%< 100 copy/10^5 cells	62.1%	13.8%	24.1%	NS	
		%Groups	29.5%	20.0%	35.0%		
	0 0 <sup>5</sup>	No.	47	20	18		
		%100 - 500 copy/10^5 cells	55.30 %	23.50%	21.20%		
100 - 500 copy/10 <sup>5</sup>		%Groups	77.00 %	100.00 %	90.00%	C.C.=0.24 7	
cells	1	No.	14	0	2	P=0.037	
( score - 3)		%100 - 500 copy/10^5 cells	87.50 %	0.0%	12.50%	(*) S	
		%Groups	23.00 %	0.0%	10.00%		
		No.	53	18	20		
	0	%500 -1000 copy/10^5 cells	58.20 %	19.80%	22.00%		
600 -1000 copy/10 <sup>5</sup>		%Groups	86.90 %	90.00%	100.00 %	C.C.=0.16 7	
cells –		No.	8	2	0	P=0.234	
( score - 4)	1	%500 -1000 copy/10^5 cells	80.00 %	20.00%	0.0%	NS	
		%Groups	13.10 %	10.00%	0.0%		
		No.	56	19	20		
	0	%>1000 copy/10^5 cells	58.90 %	20.00%	21.10%		
>1000 copy/10 <sup>5</sup> cells		%Groups	91.80 %	95.00%	100.00 %	C.C.=0.13	
( score - 5)		No.	5	1	0	P=0.306	
			83.30	16700/	0.00/	- NS	
	1	%> 1000 copy/10^5 cells	%	16.70%	0.0%		

(\*\*) HS: Highly Sign. at P<0.01; (\*) S: Sign. at P<0.05 ; NS: Non Sign. at P>0.05 C.C.: Contingency Coefficient 

 Table 5: Spearman's Correlation Coeff. For testing the correlation between sequential responding of EBV and (PLI, GI, BOP score 0 & BOP score 1) parameters at each chronic periodontitis subgroups:

EBV and (111, 61, bor score 0 & bor score 1) parameters at each enrome periodonitits subgroups.								
Clinical	Spearman's	Chronic	Chronic	Chronic				
Parameters	Corr. Coeff.	Periodontitis - Severe	Periodontitis - Moderate	Periodontitis - Mild				
	Corr. Coeff.	-0.217	-0.324	-0.387*				
Plaque Index	P-value	0.477	0.132	0.05				
_	No.	13	23	25				
	Corr. Coeff.	-0.608*	-0.256	-0.119				
<b>Gingival Index</b>	P-value	0.028	0.239	0.572				
-	No.	13	23	25				
	Corr. Coeff.	-0.822**	-0.253	-0.179				
B.O.P Score 1	P-value	0.001	0.244	0.392				
	No.	13	23	25				
	Corr. Coeff.	0.583*	0.26	0.179				
B.O.P Score 0	P-value	0.036	0.231	0.392				
	No.	13	23	25				

(\*\*) HS: Highly Sign. at P<0.01; (\*) S: Sign. at P<0.05 ; NS: Non Sign. at P>0.05

Table 6: Correlation Coefficients with their testing of null hypotheses between the actual of virus readings and the studied parameters (PLI, GI, BOP score 0 & BOP score 1) in Gingivitis group

Group	Corr. and P-value	<b>Plaque Index</b>	<b>Gingival Index</b>	B.O.P Score 1	B.O.P Score 0
	Correlation	-0.333	-0.293	-0.383	0.373
Gingivitis	P-value	0.076	0.105	0.048	0.053
	C.S.	NS	NS	S	NS

### Table 7: Correlation Coefficients with their testing of null hypotheses between the actual of virus readings and the studied parameters (PLI, GI, BOP score 0 & BOP score 1) in Control group

Group	Corr. and P-value	Plaque Index	<b>Gingival Index</b>	B.O.P Score 1	B.O.P Score 0
	Correlation	-0.537	-0.476	-0.274	0.274
Control	P-value	0.007	0.017	0.121	0.121
	C.S.	HS	S	NS	NS

## Table 8: Correlation Coefficients between the actual virus readings and the studied parameters (PPD and CAL) scores in chronic periodontitis – Severe subgroup:

Group	Corr. and P-value	PPD Score-1	PPD Score-2	PPD Score-3	CAL Score-1	CAL Score-2	CAL Score-3
Chronic	Correlation	-0.496	-0.175	0.214	-0.362	-0.263	-0.018
Periodontitis –Severe	P-value	0.043	0.284	0.241	0.112	0.193	0.477
subgroup	C.S.	S	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

## Table 9: Correlation Coefficients between the actual virus readings and the studied parameters in Ch. Periodontitis – Moderate subgroup:

Group	Corr. and	PPD	PPD	PPD	CAL	CAL	CAL
*	P-value	Score-1	Score-2	Score-3	Score-1	Score-2	Score-3
Chronic	Correlation	-0.048	0.312	-0.105	0.102	0.03	0.303
Periodontitis –	P-value	0.414	0.074	0.317	0.321	0.445	0.08
Moderate subgroup	C.S.	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

## Table 10: Correlation Coefficients between the actual virus readings and the studied parameters in Ch. Periodontitis – Mild subgroup:

Group	Corr. and P- value	PPD Score-1	PPD Score-2	PPD Score-3	CAL Score-1	CAL Score-2	CAL Score-3
Chronic	Correlation	0.161	-0.150	0.049	0.052	-0.143	0.036
Periodontitis –Mild	P-value	0.221	0.237	0.408	0.402	0.248	0.432
subgroup	C.S.	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

### DISCUSSION

In this study a significant difference appears when comparison was made between 3 groups of the study and the percentage of *EBV* at the cutoff point of sequential responding parameter. This finding agree with Wu et al <sup>(20)</sup>, where the higher level of *EBV* among study group compare to control group represent the role of these pathogens in destructive periodontal lesion where the virus effect on immune response of such patients. The pathogenic mechanisms of herpes viruses cooperate in exacerbating disease and probably for that reason, a periodontal dual infection with (*HCMV* and *EBV*) tends to occur in severe types of periodontitis <sup>(21)</sup>.

A non significant negative correlation appears when correlation was made between the quantity of *EBV* and plaque index in case of {chronic periodontitis (severe & moderate) subgroups and in gingivitis group}, while there is a significant negative correlation in case of chronic periodontitis (mild subgroup) and a highly significant strong negative correlation appears in healthy control group. These findings appear to be disagree with Saygun et al <sup>(22)</sup> where the difference in the measurements of plaque index in viral detected & undetected sites were statistically significant .

When the correlation was made between the presence of *EBV* and gingival index, it's found that there is a non significant negative correlation in all groups and subgroups except in chronic periodontitis (severe subgroup) and healthy control group which showed a statistical significant negative correlation with the quantity of *EBV*. These findings are agree with the study done by Charu <sup>(23)</sup> The reasons of variation in *EBV* occurrence among studies may include differing *EBV* detection technique, dissimilar periodontal disease states studies and true geographic variation in *EBV* prevalence.

A significant negative correlation was found between the presence of EBV and bleeding upon probing for (score 1) in chronic periodontitissevere subgroup and gingivitis group & a non significant correlation was found in case of BOP score (0) with different groups and subgroups except chronic periodontitis-severe subgroup, which showed a significant strong positive correlation. These findings are disagree with Maryam et al  $^{(24)}$ , who found that there were no statistically significant differences in mean of BOP among positive and negative patients for EBV-1, EBV-2 and HCMV, where a higher mean of BOP among patients who were infected with EBV-1 and HCMV did not reach to the level of statistical significance. The coinfection with EBV revealed bleeding upon probing, a clinical sign of elevated risk for disease progression <sup>(21)</sup>.

The result of correlations between the three scores of periodontal pocket depth of chronic periodontitis subgroups & EBV quantity showed a significant correlation in case of severe chronic periodontitis subgroup at score (1), while there were non significant differences at scores (2&3), also non significant correlations were found in case of (moderate & mild) chronic periodontitis subgroups including all scores. These findings are disagree with Ling <sup>(25)</sup>, who found that the prevalence of virus in chronic periodontitis is very low (4%). These differences between studies could be due to differing in EBV detection technique, dissimilar in estimation of (PPD), but the present finding agrees with Saygun et al and Moghim et al <sup>(26,27)</sup>. Saygun et al observed that periodontal pocket depth was positively correlated with salivary EBV-DNA counts. The results agree with Wu et al <sup>(28)</sup> who found that a higher percentage of EBV associated with a deeper probing pocket depth. The prevalence of EBV-1 found in  $PD \ge 6$  mm was statistically greater than that in PPD  $\leq 3 \text{ mm}^{(24)}$ .

A study done by Kubar et al <sup>(6)</sup> showed that a statistical significance difference was found between *EBV* subgingival counts and periodontal pocket depth at sample sites (Spearman's correlation), where chronic periodontitis patients revealed more than 10,000 copies of (*HCMV or EBV*) in subgingival or gingival tissue samples (Chi-square test. The interaction between *EBV* and *P. gingivalis* is bi-directional with P. gingivalis having the potential to induce *EBV* reactivation and *EBV* reactivation suppressing host defenses and permitting overgrowth of P. gingivalis which having the potential to induce periodontal tissue destruction <sup>(29)</sup>.

A non significant correlation was found between the three scores of clinical attachment level (CAL) of chronic periodontitis subgroups with the actual virus readings of *EBV*, this result disagree with Wu et al <sup>(28)</sup>, which found that a more serious attachment loss associated with the presence of *EBV*. A periodontal dual infection of *EBV* and pathogenic bacteria gives rise to enhanced cytokine release and immune signaling dysregulation and tends to be associated with more severe periodontitis than a periodontal infection involving solely bacteria <sup>(30-32)</sup>.

### REFERENCES

- Pihlstrom BL, Michalowicz BS, Johnson NW. Periodontal diseases. Lancet 2005; 366(9499):1809-20.
- 2. Contreras A, Slots J. Herpes virus in human periodontal disease. J Periodontal Res 2000; 35:3-16.

- Surang T, Penpan L, Sroisiri T, Varunee D, Preeda W, Sompoj C, Potjamas P, Pornsawan A, Kruavan B, Wasun C, Panadda D. Prevelence of Cytomegalvirus, Human herpesvirus-6 and Epstein-Barr virus in periodontitis patients and healthy subjects in the Thai population. Southeast Asia J Trop Med Puplic Health 2004; (3593): 635-40.
- Pejčić A, Peševska S, Grigorov I, et al. Periodontitis as a Risk Factor for General Disorders. Acta Facult Med Naiss 2006; 23(1): 59-65.
- Slots J, Sugar C, Kamma JJ. Cytomegalovirus periodontal presence is associated with subgingival Dialister pneumosintes and alveolar bone loss. Oral Microbiol Immunol 2002; 17: 369-74.
- Kubar A, Saygun I, Özdemir A, Yapar M, Slots J. Real-time polymerase chain reaction quantification of human cytomegalovirus and Epstein–Barr virus in periodontal pockets and the adjacent gingiva of periodontitis lesions. J Periodontal Res 2005; 40: 97– 104. (IVSL).
- Contreras A, Nowzari H, Slots J. Herpes viruses in periodontal pocket and gingival tissue specimens. Oral Microbiol Immunol 2000; 15: 15-8.
- Cappuyns I, Gugerli P, Mombelli A. Viruses in periodontal disease – a review. Oral Dis 2005; 11: 219-29.
- Spriggs MK. One step ahead of the game: viral immunomodulatory molecules. Annu Rev Immunol 1996; 14:101-130. Review. Oral Dis 2005; 11: 219-29.
- Banks T, Rouse B. Herpes viruses immuno escape artist. Clin Infect Dis 1992; 14: 933-41.
- Taga H, Taga K, Wang F, Chretien J, Tosato G. Human and viral interlukin-10 in acute Epstein-Barr virus induced infectious mononucleosis. J Infect Dis 1995; 171: 1347-50.
- Contreras A, Umeda M, Chen C, Bakker I, Morrison JL, Slots J. Relationship between herpes viruses and adult periodontitis and periodontopathic bacteria. J Periodontol 1999; 70: 478–84.
- 13. Yoshida A, Nagashima S, Ansai T, Tachibana M, Kato H, Watari H, Notomi T, Takehara T. Loopmediated isothermal amplification method for rapid detection of the periodontopathic bacteria Porphyromonas gingivalis, Tannerella forsythia, and Treponema denticola. J Clinical Microbiol 2005a; 43(5): 2418-24.
- 14. Yoshida A, Tachibana M, Ansai T, Takehara T. Multiplex polymerase chain reaction assay for simultaneous detection of black-pigmented Prevotella species in oral specimens. Oral Microbiology and Immunology 2005b; 20 (1): 43-6.
- Silness J, Loe H. Periodontal disease in pregnancy II. Corelation betweenoral hygiene and periodontal condition. Acta Odont Scand 1964; 22: 121-35.
- Loe H. The Gingival Index, the Plaque Index and the Retention Index Systems. J Periodontal 1967; 38(6): 610-616.
- 17. Newbrun E. Indices to measure gingival bleeding. J Periodontol 1996; 67: 555-61.
- Armitage GC. Development of a classification system for periodontal diseases and conditions. Ann Periodontol 1999; 4(1):1-6.

- Eke PI, Dye BA, Wei L, Thornton-Evans GO, Genco RJ. Prevalence of Periodontitis in Adults in the United States: 2009 and 2010. J Dent Res 2012; 91(10): 914-20.
- 20. Wu YM, Yan J, Chen LL, Sun WL, Gu ZY. Infection frequency of Epstein-Barr virus in subgingival samples from patients with different periodontal status and its correlation with clinical parameters. J Zhejiang Univ Sci B 2006; 7:876-83.
- 21. Kamma JJ, Contreras A and Slots J. Herpes virus and periodontopathic bacteria in early-onset periodontitis. J Clin Periodontal 2001; 28: 879-85.
- 22. Saygun I, Sahin S, Ozdemir A, Kurtis B, Yaper M, Kubar A and Ozcan G. Detection of human viruses in patients with chronic periodontitis and the relationship between viruses and clinical parameter. J Periodontal 2002; 73: 1437-43.
- 23. Charu G. Detection and assessment of human cytomegalovirus, Eptein-Bar virus-1 (EBV-1) and herpes simplex virus (HSV) in patients with chronic periodontitis of varying pocket depths. A thesis present to the faculty of Gandhi University of health sciences for a degree of master science in periodontology, 2006.
- 24. Maryam Ch, Sharareh M, Ahamad M, Farid N, Faranak R. EBV and CMV in chronic periodontitis: a prevalence study. Arch Virol 2008; 153:1917–9.
- 25. Ling LJ, Chuan-Chen H, Wu CY, et al. Association between human herpes viruses and severity of periodontitis. J Periodontol 2004; 75(11): 1479-85.
- Saygun I, Kubar A, Özdemir A, Slots J. Periodontitis lesions are a source of salivary cytomegalovirus and Epstein-Barr virus. J Periodontal Res 2005; 40(2):187-191. (IVSL).
- 27. Moghim SH, Chalabi M, Abed AM, Rezaei F, Tamizifar H. Prevalence of Epstein-Barr virus type 1 in patients with chronic periodontitis by nested-PCR. Pak J Biol Sci 2007; 10: 4547-50.
- Wu YM, Yan J, Ojciu DM, Chen LL, Gu ZY, Pan JP. Correlation between infections with different genotypes of human cytomegalovirus and Epstein-Barr virus in subgingival samples and periodontal status of patients. J Clin Microbiol 2007; 45: 3665-70. (IVSL).
- Naoyuki S, Kyoko I, Maiko O, Masataka I, Hajime T, Shuichi S, Koichi I. Relationship between Porphyromonas gingivalis, Epstein-Barr virus infection and reactivation in periodontitis. J Oral Sci 2004; 46 (4): 203-6.
- 30. Botero JE, Vidal C, Contreras A, Parra B. Comparison of nested polymerase chain reaction (PCR), real-time PCR and viral culture for the detection of cytomegalovirus in subgingival samples. Oral Microbiol Immunol 2008; 23: 239–44.
- Liu YC, Lerner UH, Teng YT. Cytokine responses against periodontal infecton: protective and destructive roles. Periodontal 2000 2010; 52: 163-206.
- 32. Taylor JJ. Cytokine regulation of immune responses to Porphromonas gingivalis. Periodontal 2000 2010; 54: 160-4.